Pyrazolate-bridged metal–organic frameworks incorporating tetrahedral Zn$^{2+}$ ions are shown to exhibit a high chemical stability in boiling water, organic solvents, and acidic media, and are assessed for their hydrogen storage properties.

Introduction

Microporous metal–organic frameworks have attracted much recent attention, particularly for their potential applications in gas storage, molecular separations, and heterogeneous catalysis.$^1$ Certain of these materials have even shown considerable promise for the high-density storage of hydrogen, which poses an extraordinarily difficult challenge owing to its high fugacity.$^{5,2,4}$ While the H$_2$ uptake in such compounds at cryogenic temperatures can approach capacities compatible with the targets set forth by the US Department of Energy for mobile applications,$^4$ capacities near ambient temperature are very much diminished. This has prompted exploration of several approaches for increasing the H$_2$ binding affinity of the framework surfaces, including via introduction of open metal coordination sites.$^{2,3,5}$

Despite the promise offered by high-surface area metal–organic frameworks, many that incorporate carboxylate-based bridging ligands are prone to decomposition upon exposure to atmospheric water. For example, Zn$_4$O(BDC)$_3$ (BDC$^{2-}$ = 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate), an iconic metal–organic framework exhibiting the highest known density for cryogenic hydrogen storage (66 g L$^{-1}$ at 77 K and 100 bar), decomposes upon exposure to air.$^2$ Consequently, the preparation, handling, and utilization of such materials require moisture-free conditions that limit or impair their applicability.

The discovery of new high-surface area metal–organic frameworks that are stable to air, water, other chemical agents, and high temperatures is an important step in extending the versatility of these metal–organic frameworks. To this end, it has been shown that microporous imidazolate-bridged frameworks can exhibit high thermal stability and remarkable chemical resistance in boiling alkaline water and other solvents.$^6$ This behavior is likely due to the greater basicity of imidazolate, as reflected in the significantly higher $pK_a$ of imidazoles relative to carboxylic acids, which results in stronger metal–ligand bonds. Given that pyrazoles have similar high $pK_a$ values, pyrazolate-based metal–organic frameworks should also exhibit good thermal and chemical stability. Accordingly, we are working to extend our previous metal–tetrazolate framework chemistry$^{6,7}$ to triazolate$^8$ and pyrazolate-based ligands, and recently reported the synthesis of Co(1,4-BDP) (1,4-H$_2$BDP = 1,4-benzenedi(4-pyrazolyl)), which displays remarkable flexibility and a large Langmuir surface area of 2670 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$.$^9$ Here, we demonstrate the use of 1,4-H$_2$BDP and its meta isomer 1,3-benzenedi(4-pyrazolyl) (1,3-H$_2$BDP) in the synthesis of rigid zinc-containing frameworks exhibiting good cryogenic H$_2$ adsorption properties and excellent stability to boiling solvents, including water.

Experimental

Water was distilled and deionized prior to use. All other reagents were obtained from commercial vendors, and were used without further purification.

Broader context

The development of a safe and effective means of storing hydrogen is critical to its utilization as a clean fuel in automobiles. Owing to their high surface areas, microporous metal–organic frameworks have emerged as promising candidates for storage materials, particularly at cryogenic temperatures. Many of the best frameworks, however, are constructed from organocarboxylate-bridging ligands and decompose with prolonged exposure to water vapor. The present work reports two new frameworks, built up of tetrahedral Zn$^{2+}$ ions connected through 1,3- or 1,4-benzenedipyrzolate, which exhibit excellent stability to water, extreme temperatures, and even dilute acid. One of these materials displays a high hydrogen storage capacity, adsorbing 4.7 excess wt% of H$_2$ at 77 K and 40 bar. Such materials are of importance for their ability to tolerate adventitious water that may be present in the storage tank or the hydrogen fuel.
**Synthesis of 1,3-benzenedi(4'-pyrazoly) (1,3-H2BDP)**

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 7.0 mL of phosphorous oxychloride was added to 6.0 mL of anhydrous DMF in a three-neck round-bottomed flask, and the mixture was chilled in an ice bath for 10 min. A solution of 1,3-phenylenedicarboxylic acid (2.0 g, 0.010 mol) in 10 mL of DMF was added, and the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 18 h. While still hot, the resultant dark solution was poured into ca. 200 mL of ice water, and a solution of NaClO4 (4.4 g, 0.036 mol) in 100 mL of water was added to afford an off-white precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with successive aliquots of water (5 × 20 mL), methanol (3 × 20 mL), and diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL), and dried under reduced pressure to yield 4.5 g (86%) of 1,3-benzenedi(2(1',3',3'-dimethylamino)-trimethinium)diperchlorate (A). A 50 mL aliquot of 0.01 M NaOH was added to a suspension of A (4.5 g, 8.5 mmol) in 150 mL of water, and the solution was stirred and heated at 70 °C for 45 min. The resulting colorless solution was titrated with a 1.2 M aqueous HCl solution until the pH of the solution was 3. Hydrazine monohydrate (4.0 mL, 0.010 mol) in 10 mL of DMF was added, and the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 1 h to give an off-white precipitate. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with successive aliquots of water (3 × 50 mL), and dried in air to afford 1.29 g (61%) of product. IR (neat, ATR): 3141, 3114, 3069, 2932, 2857, 2674, 1610, 1593, 1522 cm⁻¹. 1H NMR (DMSO-d6): δ 12.92 (s, br, 2H), 8.031 (d, br, 4H), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.426 (d, 2H), 7.310 (t, 1H) ppm. Anal. calcd for C₄₃H₄₆CoN₆O₃.5H₂O (Zn(1,3-BDP) 0.5H₂O): C, 49.80; H, 4.98; N, 18.33. Found: C, 49.70; H, 4.80; N, 18.29.

**Synthesis of Zn(1,3-BDP)-0.7DMF-0.5H₂O (3)**

Solid 1,3-H2BDP (0.262 g, 1.25 mol) was added to a solution of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.402 g, 1.35 mmol) in 12 mL of DMF, affording a yellow solution. The reaction mixture was heated at 110 °C for 60 h, resulting in formation of a white precipitate. Upon cooling to room temperature, the solid was filtered, washed with successive aliquots of DMF (5 × 10 mL) and dried under reduced pressure to afford 0.285 g (63%) of product. IR (neat, ATR): 1611, 1592, 1384, 1676 cm⁻¹. Anal. calcd for C₄₁H₃₉N₄·O₁·₂Zn: C, 50.74; H, 4.20; N, 19.72. Found: C, 50.65; H, 3.96; N, 19.55.

**Low-pressure gas adsorption measurements**

Gas adsorption isotherms for pressures in the range 0–1.2 bar were measured by the volumetric method using a Micromeritics ASAP2020 instrument. Solid samples of 1 and 3 were transferred to preweighed analysis tubes, which were copped with Transcale and evacuated at heating at 160 °C under dynamic vacuum until an outgas rate of less than 2 mTorr min⁻¹ (0.27 Pa min⁻¹) was achieved (ca. two days). The evacuated analysis tubes containing the degassed samples of Zn(1,4-BDP) (1d) and Zn(1,3-BDP) (3d) were then carefully transferred to an electronic balance and weighed again to determine the mass of sample (215 mg and 123 mg, respectively). The tube was then placed back on the analysis port of the gas adsorption instrument. The outgas rate was again confirmed to be less than 2 mTorr min⁻¹ (0.27 Pa min⁻¹). For all isotherms, warm and cold free-space correction measurements were performed using ultra-high-purity He gas (UHP grade 5.0, 99.999% purity); N₂, and H₂ isotherms at 77 and 87 K were measured in liquid nitrogen and liquid argon baths, respectively, using UHP-grade gas sources. Oil-free vacuum pumps and oil-free pressure regulators were used for all measurements to prevent contamination of the samples during the evacuation process or of the feed gases during the isotherm measurements.

**Determination of isosteric heat of H₂ adsorption**

To probe how the heat of adsorption varies for the two different frameworks, the adsorbed amounts of H₂ at 77 K and 87 K were fit using a virial-type expression, composed of aₐ and bᵢ parameters,

\[
\ln P = \ln N + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i N_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i N_i^2 \tag{1}
\]
where $P$ is the pressure expressed in Torr, $N$ is the amount adsorbed in mmol g$^{-1}$, $T$ is the temperature in K, $a_i$ and $b_i$ are virial coefficients, and $m$ and $n$ represent the number of coefficients required to adequately describe the isotherms. The equation was fit using the R statistical software package; $^8$ $m$ and $n$ were gradually increased until the contributions of the added $a$ and $b$ coefficients were deemed to be statistically insignificant to the overall fit, as determined using the $t$-test. The values of the virial coefficients $a_i$ through $a_m$ were then used to calculate the isosteric heat of adsorption using the following expression:

$$Q_{st} = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N^i$$

where $Q_{st}$ is the coverage-dependent isosteric heat of adsorption and $R$ is the universal gas constant.

High-pressure H$_2$ adsorption measurements and data analysis

Solids 1 and 3 were degassed by the method described in the previous section. For each measurement, the sample was then loaded into the sample holder under a nitrogen atmosphere. Excess adsorption of H$_2$ was measured using an automated Sieverts’ apparatus (PCT-Pro 2000 from Hy-Energy LLC) over a pressure range of 0–90 bar. At least 200 mg of adsorbent was used in each experiment, and UHP-grade hydrogen and helium (99.999% purity) were used for all measurements. Measurements at 77 K were performed by submerging the sample in liquid nitrogen. The volume of the sample holder and the connecting gas manifold were determined prior to sample measurement using He at 298 K and 77 K. Helium was used to determine the dead space volume correction for a non-porous sample of known volume; this correction accounted for the change in effective sample volume observed when cooling the sample holder from room temperature to low temperature. The adsorbent was then introduced into the sample holder and He gas was used to determine the volume of the sample at room temperature. Since He gas penetrates the pores of the sample without being significantly adsorbed onto the surface, the volume measured with He corresponds to the volume of the framework walls, also referred to as the framework skeleton. Consequently, the skeletal density of the material, $d_{sk}$, can be obtained from the following expression.

$$d_{sk} = \frac{m}{V_{sk}}$$

Here, $m$ is the sample mass expressed in g and $V_{sk}$ is the sample volume in cm$^3$, as determined using He expansion at room temperature. In order to obtain an accurate assessment of the value of the skeletal density, the volume of the sample ($V_{sk}$) was measured 20 times and the average of these was used as the final value.

Gravimetric water adsorption measurement

A sample of 1 was degassed and weighed by the method detailed above. The evacuated analysis tube containing the degassed solid of Zn(1,4-BDP) (1d) was weighed, and then attached to a Micromeritics ASAP2020 instrument, which was exposed to saturated water vapor at room temperature. After 24 h, the tube was transferred to an electronic balance and weighed to determine the adsorbed amount of water.

X-ray structure determination†

A single crystal of Co(1,3-BDP)-DEF·0.5H$_2$O (2) was coated in Paratone-N oil, attached to a Kapton loop, quickly transferred to a Bruker Platinum 200 Instrument at the Advanced Light Source at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and cooled in a stream of nitrogen. Preliminary cell data were collected to give a unit cell consistent with the tetragonal Laue group, and the unit cell parameters were later refined against all data. A full hemisphere of data was collected, and the crystal didn’t show significant decay during data collection. Data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects using SAIN T v7.3$^{11a}$ and were corrected for absorption effects using SADABS 2.10.$^{11b}$ Crystal and refinement parameters are listed in Table S1.$^†$ Space group assignments were based upon systematic absences, $E$ statistics, and successful refinement of the structures. The structure was solved by direct methods and expanded through successive difference Fourier maps. It was refined against all data using the SHELXTL 5.0 software package.$^{11c}$ Thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms pertaining to the framework skeleton were refined anisotropically. Due to severe disorder of the solvent molecules in the pores, the residual electron density within the pores was squeezed out using PLATON,$^{11d}$ and the final refinement was performed based on the resulting data.

Other physical measurements

Infrared spectra were collected on a Nicolet Avatar 360 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an attenuated total reflectance (ATR) accessory. Carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen analyses were obtained from the Microanalytical Laboratory of the University of California, Berkeley. Powder X-ray diffraction data were collected using Cu K$\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5406$ A) radiation on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer. Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out at a ramp rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ in a nitrogen flow with a TA Instruments TGA Q5000 V3.1 Build 246.

Results and discussion

Employing synthetic conditions analogous to those used in the synthesis of Co(1,4-BDP),$^9$ Zn(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ was found to react with 1,4-H$_2$BDP in N,N-diethylformamide (DEF) to afford colorless microcrystals of Zn(1,4-BDP)-2DEF·H$_2$O (1) in 95% yield. Powder X-ray diffraction data for 1 revealed a pattern matching that observed for Co(1,4-BDP)-2DEF·H$_2$O, indicating the two phases to be isostructural.$^{12}$ The crystal structure of 1 therefore consists of chains of tetrahedrally coordinated Zn$^{2+}$ ions bridged by pyrazolate groups from BDP$^{2-}$ ligands to form square channels running along the $c$ axis of the crystal. As shown in Fig. S1 of the ESI,$^†$ these channels have a width of approximately 10 × 10 Å$^2$, with much narrower openings connecting channels along the $a$ and $b$ axes.

Reaction of the bent linker 1,3-H$_2$BDP with Co(CF$_3$SO$_3$)$_2$ in DEF at 140 °C in a sealed borosilicate tube produced purple microcrystals of Co(1,3-BDP)-DEF·0.5H$_2$O (2) in 54% yield. X-ray analysis$^{13}$ of a single crystal of 2 revealed a structure
(see Fig. 1) wherein Co$^{2+}$ ions are again tetrahedrally coordinated by N atoms from four independent pyrazolate rings. In contrast to Co(1,4-BDP), however, the meta-oriented pyrazolate substituents give rise to zig-zag chains that orient in an alternating fashion to form cylindrical 3.9Å diameter channels running along the a and b crystal axes. The benzene rings from pairs of neighboring 1,3-BDP linkers display weak π–π stacking interactions (with a centroid–centroid distance of 3.419(2) Å) to give a double-walled framework. Some disorder occurs in the arrangement of these aromatic rings in the structure, suggesting that the 3.9 × 3.9 Å$^2$ square channels running along the c axis may be disrupted by occasional blockages.

A similar reaction between Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 1,3-H$_2$BDP in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 110 °C yielded Zn(1,3-BDP)·0.7DMF·0.5H$_2$O (3). As evidenced by its powder X-ray diffraction pattern, this compound is isostructural to 2. Preliminary studies have also shown that other first row transition metal ions, such as Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, and Cu$^{2+}$, do not share the preference of Co$^{2+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ for tetrahedral coordination with pyrazolate ligands. Indeed, reactions of these ions with 1,3-H$_2$BDP and 1,4-H$_2$BDP produced different crystalline phases whose structures have thus far eluded us.

Thermogravimetric analyses performed on compounds 1 and 3 demonstrated the high thermal stability of their pyrazolate-bridged frameworks. As shown in Fig. S5, solvent loss steps at 120 °C for 1 and 180 °C for 3 are followed by extended plateaus of compositional stability up to 400 °C for 1 and 500 °C for 3. Significantly, the powder X-ray diffraction pattern for a sample of 3 that had been heated to 450 °C was identical to that of the as-synthesized phase (see Fig. S9†). Such high thermal stability is extremely rare among transition metal-carboxylate frameworks, which commonly decompose in the range 200–350 °C, and has mostly been observed previously in imidazolate-, triazolate-, or other pyrazolate-bridged frameworks.$^{14}$

To probe the water sensitivity of 1, as-synthesized samples were immersed in water at elevated temperature for various periods of time and the resulting solids were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (see Fig. 2, upper). Interestingly, a phase change occurs within minutes of immersing 1 in water heated at 40 °C, and the resulting pattern is retained during all subsequent manipulations, even after immersion in boiling water for 3 days. The original phase is rapidly regenerated, however, upon re-immersion of this modified phase in DEF for only 3 min. The results suggest that no bonds are broken in the framework upon heating in water, and that only a slight, reversible change in its conformation occurs during the treatment. Accordingly, the (100) reflection at 2θ = 6.6° does not shift or disappear during the experiment. Complete regeneration of the porous structure was also proven by a low-pressure H$_2$ adsorption measurement at 77 K, which, as discussed below, showed no change in uptake capacity subsequent to treatment with hot water. Gravimetric analysis further showed that the desolvated form of 1 (1d, as discussed below) adsorbs 28 wt% water at room temperature, which is comparable or superior to the water uptake capacity of zeolites-AX (A = Li$^+$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, Rb$^+$, Cs$^+$),$^{17}$ and points to its potential use as a water scavenger or in
water adsorption-based heating/cooling applications. The behavior of 1 in boiling methanol is similar to its behavior in water: an initial phase change is completely reversed upon treatment with DEF for 3 min (see Fig. S8†).

Compound 3 exhibits an even more marked chemical stability. As shown at the bottom of Fig. 2, the structure is fully retained even after immersion in boiling water for 3 days. Boiling methanol or benzene also leave the framework intact, and no changes in peak position or intensity are visible in the associated diffraction patterns (see Fig. S10†). The unusual robustness of 3 is likely due to its double-walled framework structure, which is reminiscent of interpenetrated frameworks that are known to exhibit increased stability relative to their non-interpenetrated counterparts. Intriguingly, 3 is also stable in an acidic aqueous medium with pH = 3 for up to 30 min at 90 °C. Although some imidazolate-, triazolate-, and pyrazolate-based frameworks show extended stability in neutral or alkaline solutions, stability at low pH has not been reported thus far for metal–organic frameworks, and could open new opportunities for the use of these materials in acid–base catalysis.

In order to investigate their gas sorption properties, desolvated phases 1d and 3d were prepared by heating 1 and 3 at 160 °C under dynamic vacuum. The permanent porosity of the resulting solids was evaluated by N2 adsorption measurements performed at 77 K (see Fig. 3). Both compounds displayed Type I adsorption isotherms characteristic of microporous solids, and adsorbed significant amounts of N2, with 1d taking up 23 mmol g⁻¹ and 3d taking up 13 mmol g⁻¹. Fits to the data gave Langmuir surface areas of 2320(4) and 1161(3) and BET surface areas of 1710(20) and 820(10) m² g⁻¹ for 1d and 3d, respectively. Note that the reduction of the surface area of 3d to roughly half of that observed for 1d is consistent with its double-walled framework structure.

The hydrogen adsorption capacity of each desolvated phase was also measured at 77 and 87 K. As depicted at the top of Fig. 4, at pressures of up to 900 mm Hg, both 1d and 3d exhibit a fully reversible H2 uptake of 1.6 wt% at 77 K. Importantly, these isotherms are unchanged after treatment of the samples with boiling water followed by dehydration of the pores. At 87 K, the H2 capacities for 1d and 3d in this pressure range dropped to 1.0 and 1.2 wt%, respectively. The H2 adsorption isotherms at 77 and 87 K were fit using a virial-type expression to obtain the isosteric heat of H2 adsorption (Qst) for each material. As shown at the bottom of Fig. 4, Qst ranges from −6.9 to −5.4 kJ mol⁻¹ for 1d and from −6.6 to −5.9 kJ mol⁻¹ for 3d. The greater average magnitude of Qst for 3d is consistent with the steeper rise of its adsorption isotherm at low pressures, and is likely a consequence of the smaller pore size of the framework. In addition, the lesser degree of variation in the values observed for 3d is consistent with its relatively isotropic pore shape, which should result in less variation of the binding affinity. Furthermore, the relatively low zero-coverage binding energy for both materials agrees with the lack of open metal-H2 binding sites, which are responsible for the high zero-coverage binding energy and more pronounced enthalpy changes observed for metal–organic frameworks with unsaturated metal sites.

Interestingly, the hydrogen uptake at 900 mm Hg and 77 K is nearly the same for both compounds. This seems counterintuitive, given that the surface area of 1d is roughly double that of 3d. However, the expected difference in capacity becomes evident in the high-pressure H2 adsorption isotherms collected at 77 K (see Fig. 5). Although the excess H2 uptake in 1d initially lags below that of 3d, it exhibits a second, relatively broad step with notable hysteresis upon desorption. On the other hand, the H2 adsorption for 3d shows no inflection as it rapidly climbs below 10 bar to near its maximum. Overall, the two compounds exhibit excess H2 uptake capacities of 4.7 wt% for 1d and 2.1 wt% for 3d. To our knowledge, the former corresponds to the highest loading yet reported for a metal–organic framework with a well-demonstrated water stability.

---

Fig. 3 Nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K for the solid 1d (red triangles), and 3d (blue circles) that were activated at 160 °C.

Fig. 4 Low-pressure H2 adsorption isotherms measured at 77 K (top) and plot of the isosteric heat of H2 adsorption (bottom), as determined from adsorption data collected at 77 and 87 K for 1d (red) and 3d (blue). Filled and empty symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively.

---
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The hysteretic H₂ adsorption observed for 1d is reminiscent of the data observed for Co(1,4-BDP) at 77 K, which exhibits a much broader hysteresis with a more prominent adsorption step occurring at ca. 25 bar. This effect was assigned to an accordion type flexibility of the framework, which is associated with a minor relaxation in the framework structure of 1d upon pressurization with more than 10 bar of H₂.

Conclusions

The foregoing results demonstrate a high degree of chemical and thermal stability for two new zinc(II)–pyrazolate metal–organic frameworks. Most significantly, with a BET surface area of 1710 m² g⁻¹, Zn(1,4-BDP) exhibits an excess H₂ uptake of 4.7 wt% at 40 bar and 77 K. Future research will focus on generating related, air- and water-stable frameworks with higher surface areas and greater capacities for cryogenic hydrogen storage.
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