Enhanced carbon dioxide capture upon incorporation of \(N,N\)-dimethylethlenediamine in the metal–organic framework CuBTTri†
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High capacity, high selectivity, and low-cost regeneration conditions are the most important criteria by which new adsorbents for post-combustion carbon dioxide capture will be judged. The incorporation of \(N,N\)-dimethylethlenediamine (mmen) into \(\text{H}_3[\text{Cu}_4\text{Cl}_3\text{BTTr}]_8\) (CuBTTri; \(\text{H}_3\text{BTTr} = 1,3,5\)-tri(1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzene), a water-stable, triazolate-bridged framework, is shown to drastically enhance CO\(_2\) adsorption, resulting in one of the best performing metal–organic frameworks for CO\(_2\) separation reported to date. High porosity was maintained despite stoichiometric attachment of mmen to the open metal sites of the framework, resulting in a BET surface area of 870 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). At 25 °C under a 0.15 bar CO\(_2\)/0.75 bar N\(_2\) mixture, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs 2.38 mmol CO\(_2\) g\(^{-1}\) (9.5 wt%) with a selectivity of 327, as determined using Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST). The high capacity and selectivity are consequences of the exceptionally large isosteric heat of CO\(_2\) adsorption, calculated to be \(-96\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) at zero coverage. Infrared spectra support chemisorption between amines and CO\(_2\) as one of the primary mechanisms of uptake. Despite the large initial heat of adsorption, the CO\(_2\) uptake was fully reversible and the framework could be easily regenerated at 60 °C, enabling a cycling time of just 27 min with no loss of capacity over the course of 72 adsorption/desorption cycles.

Introduction

The separation of carbon dioxide from nitrogen at low pressures, applicable to post-combustion carbon capture, has been extensively studied in porous solids.\(^1\) The majority of solid surfaces preferentially adsorb CO\(_2\) over N\(_2\) via a physisorption mechanism, owing to the greater polarizability and quadrupole moment of CO\(_2\).\(^2\) However, the introduction of polarizing functional groups onto surfaces can enhance this selective adsorption. Accordingly, activated carbons, zeolites and metal–organic frameworks, all of which can be replete with strongly polarizing sites, are among the best solid adsorbents for CO\(_2\) separations. In particular, metal–organic frameworks show exceptional promise for such applications, due to their high surface areas and chemical tunability.\(^3\)

For post-combustion CO\(_2\) capture, maximizing adsorption capacity for CO\(_2\) at low pressures is highly desirable. Because the partial pressure of CO\(_2\) in flue gas emitted from coal-fired power stations is typically between 0.10 and 0.15 bar,\(^4\) the simplest approximation for the capacity of materials being considered is the quantity of gas adsorbed at these lower pressures, not the capacity at 1 bar. To date, the best performing materials in this regard have incorporated coordinatively-unsaturated metal centers acting as Lewis acids.\(^5,6\) Most notably, five-coordinate Mg\(^{2+}\) cations in Mg\(_2\)(dobdc) (dobdc\(^4=\) 1,4-dioxido-2,5-benzedicarboxylate) impart the framework with impressive characteristics for low-pressure CO\(_2\) adsorption: approximately 6.1 mmol g\(^{-1}\) at 0.15 bar CO\(_2\) pressure and 25 °C.\(^6\)

Such solid adsorbents are being investigated as alternatives to the aqueous amine scrubbers traditionally used to effect CO\(_2\) removal from a mixed gas stream. In the simplest configuration of an amine scrubber, a gas mixture containing CO\(_2\) is passed through an aqueous solution of monoethanolamine (MEA).\(^7\) The formation of ammonium carbamate from two MEA molecules and one CO\(_2\) molecule endows the scrubber with extremely high selectivity for CO\(_2\), but significant energy is required to regenerate the solution. This high regeneration energy cost has two primary components: first, the strong, chemisorptive bond between the carbon dioxide and the amine must be broken; second, a large amount of spectator water solvent must be heated and cooled along with the active amine adsorbent, giving rise to an inefficient system.\(^8\) Because amines are corrosive to plant infrastructure, solutions are typically limited to no more than 30% (w/w) of the amine, and a significant increase in this concentration is not deemed feasible. In addition to the solvent boil-off that occurs during repeated
regenerant cycles, these limitations represent the most significant obstacles to wider implementation of amine scrubbing technologies for post-combustion carbon capture. The National Energy Technology Laboratory, a unit of the United States Department of Energy, has established targets for CO₂ capture materials. Implementation of carbon capture and storage utilizing amine scrubbers is expected to result in an 86% increase in the cost of electricity, while the current target is for a maximum 35% cost increase with 90% CO₂ removal. For these reasons, new materials for post-combustion carbon capture are actively being sought.

Among the primary benefits of physisorption onto solid materials is the low regeneration energy compared to that required for aqueous amines; however, this benefit frequently comes at the expense of low capacity and poor selectivity. Our research therefore focuses on developing adsorbents that bridge the two approaches through the incorporation of sites that bind CO₂ via chemisorption into solid materials. By eliminating the aqueous solvent, we aim to develop new materials that have significantly lower regeneration costs compared with traditional amine scrubbers, yet maintain their exceptional selectivity and high capacity for CO₂ at low pressures.

We previously reported the grafting of ethylenediamine (en) within the water-stable metal–organic framework H₃[(Cu₄Cl)₃(BTTri)] (CuBTTri; H₃BTTri = 1,3,5-tri(1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzene). In this system, en-CuBTTri, the diamine was incorporated as a ligand on the Cu²⁺ cation sites exposed on the framework pore surfaces. Because the diamines were shorter than the distance between two adjacent metal sites, it was proposed that one amine from each en molecule was bound to a single metal site, while the other amine was free to interact with guest gas molecules upon framework activation. At zero coverage, the isosteric heat of CO₂ adsorption approached −90 kJ mol⁻¹, significantly greater in magnitude than the value of −20 kJ mol⁻¹ originally calculated for the unappended framework. The large isosteric heat was attributed to the formation of a weak bond between an amine and a CO₂ molecule, most likely forming a zwitterionic carbamate. Unfortunately, only a very low CO₂ capacity could be achieved in this material, presumably owing to clogging of the outermost framework pores within each crystallite upon en grafting.

The incorporation of alkylamine groups at higher loadings is expected to further polarize the overall surface area of a metal–organic framework, thereby increasing the capacity for CO₂ capture. Other functional groups are similarly capable of polarizing framework surfaces, but few are capable of undergoing the chemisorptive type process we are pursuing. Inspired by the current generation of amine scrubbers that have begun to incorporate sterically hindered amines for improved performance, we were interested in surveying the influence of amine steric on the isosteric heat of adsorption. Indeed, higher-order amines, in particular secondary amines, have been reported to have more favorable adsorption characteristics in solutions as well as on solid adsorbents. Herein, we demonstrate that the incorporation of N,N'-dimethylethlenediamine (mmen) at high loadings within CuBTTri affords a material with exceptional CO₂ capture characteristics.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of mmen-CuBTTri

In contrast to en, conditions for achieving a high loading of mmen in CuBTTri were readily identified. A sample of the metal–organic framework was suspended in 10 mL of anhydrous hexane, and upon addition of 1.8 equiv. of mmen, the color of the solid instantaneously changed to a deep blue. To effect complete grafting of the diamine, the suspension was refluxed for 18 h under an N₂ atmosphere. The resulting purple solid was collected by filtration and washed copiously with hexanes. The grafted material, mmen-CuBTTri, was activated by heating at 50 °C for 24 h under a dynamic vacuum prior to gas adsorption. Nitrogen adsorption isotherms collected at 77 K indicate a BET surface area of 870 m² g⁻¹, while powder X-ray diffraction data show the structure of the CuBTTri framework to be intact. Overall, the characterization data are most consistent with a chemical formula of H₃[(Cu₄Cl)₃(BTTri)](mmen)₁₂, with approximately one mmen molecule for each available metal site. Thus, mmen-CuBTTri is thought to possess a high concentration of surface-appended mmen molecules, where one of the amine groups is bound to a Cu²⁺ center, while the other dangles within the pore, as depicted in Fig. 1.

CO₂ and N₂ uptake

The incorporation of mmen in CuBTTri results in a material with excellent CO₂ adsorption characteristics. As shown in Fig. 2, mmen-CuBTTri displays significantly enhanced CO₂ adsorption at all pressures between 0 and 1.1 bar relative to the unappended framework. The previously reported material en-CuBTTri, with

![Fig. 1 A portion of the structure of the amine functionalized metal–organic framework mmen-CuBTTri, with red, green, blue and gray spheres representing Cu, Cl, N and C atoms, respectively. Stoichiometric incorporation of the diamine N,N'-dimethylethlenediamine onto open metal sites within the pores begets a framework with excellent capacity and selectivity for CO₂ capture at low pressures.](image-url)
Gravimetric capacities were converted into volumetric capacities via unit cell densities. Experimentally, gravimetric capacity is significantly easier to measure than volumetric capacity and gas sorption data normalized to mass is widely reported. The volumetric capacity for an actual adsorber unit is dependent upon how crystallites pack together and the fraction of void space within the occupied volume. Yet, gravimetric capacity alone does not provide a complete measure of the performance of a material and volumetric capacity (b, right) are plotted together for comparison. The horizontal dashed line in (a) corresponds to 10 wt% CO₂ adsorption.

Fig. 2 Isotherms for CO₂ (squares) and N₂ (circles) adsorption at 25 °C for mmen-CuBTTri (green) and CuBTTri (blue). Gravimetric capacity (a, left) and volumetric capacity (b, right) are plotted together for comparison. The horizontal dashed line in (a) corresponds to 10 wt% CO₂ adsorption.

a loading of just 0.3 en per Cu²⁺ center, showed increased CO₂ adsorption only at pressures less than 0.08 bar.

Quantifying the extent of the improvement in CO₂ adsorption between CuBTTri and mmen-CuBTTri is not trivial, since the degree of improvement depends significantly on the units to which the gas uptake is normalized. Fig. 2a plots the gravimetric gas adsorption isotherm, while Fig. 2b plots the crystallite volumetric gas adsorption isotherm for the two materials. Gravimetric capacities were converted into volumetric capacities via unit cell densities. Experimentally, gravimetric capacity is significantly easier to measure than volumetric capacity and gas sorption data normalized to mass is widely reported. The volumetric capacity for an actual adsorber unit is dependent upon how crystallites pack together and the fraction of void space within the occupied volume. Yet, gravimetric capacity alone does not provide a complete measure of the performance of a material being proposed for stationary applications, such as post-combustion CO₂ capture. Here, infrastructure costs are linked more directly to the volume the adsorbent would occupy than to its mass. Because incorporation of mmen into CuBTTri increases the framework density by 34% with no significant change in volume, this system is a good candidate for comparisons between gravimetric and volumetric capacities. Density calculations for CuBTTri and mmen-CuBTTri are presented in the ESI†. It is important to note, however, that no single-crystal diffraction data are available for either CuBTTri or mmen-CuBTTri. Framework volumes are based upon powder pattern unit cell optimizations, and framework compositions are based upon elemental and thermogravimetric analyses.

At 25 °C and 1 bar, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs 4.2 mmol g⁻¹ of CO₂ (15.4 wt%), representing a 15% improvement in gravimetric capacity compared to the unmodified CuBTTri framework. The best metal–organic framework for storing CO₂ at 1 bar, Mg₂(dobdc), adsorbs ca. 8.5 mmol g⁻¹ at 25 °C.⁶ However, CO₂ comprises at most 15% of coal fired power station flue gas and the effluent is released into the environment at total pressures near 1 bar. Thus, the more important criterion for CO₂ capacity is that of the framework at a pressure near 0.15 bar. At 25 °C and 0.15 bar of CO₂, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs 2.38 mmol g⁻¹ (9.5 wt%). Note that 2.90 mmol g⁻¹ would correspond to the adsorption of one CO₂ molecule per mmen in the functionalized framework. Under the same conditions, the unmodified framework only adsorbs 0.69 mmol g⁻¹ (2.9 wt%). Thus, on a gravimetric basis, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs nearly 3.5 times as much CO₂ at the relevant pressures. Volumetrically, however, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs about 4.7 times more CO₂ at 0.15 bar than CuBTTri. The difference between gravimetric and volumetric capacities is a direct consequence of the increased mass of the appended framework over the unappended material.

At 25 °C, mmen-CuBTTri adsorbs less N₂ than CuBTTri at all pressures between 0 and 1.1 bar. This is due to the reduction in specific surface area upon incorporation of mmen, with the BET surface area of 870 m² g⁻¹ for mmen-CuBTTri being roughly half of the 1770 m² g⁻¹ observed for CuBTTri.⁹ The additional polarizing sites in mmen-CuBTTri enhance N₂ adsorption less than the decreased surface area diminishes N₂ adsorption. The opposite trend was observed for CO₂ adsorption. Enhanced adsorption of only one gas is a defining characteristic of chemisorption.¹⁴ In contrast, frameworks replete with open metal cation sites can be expected to polarize all gases more effectively, including N₂, accounting for the substantially greater N₂ adsorption in Mg₂(dobdc) relative to mmen-CuBTTri.

The selectivity (S) for adsorption of CO₂ over N₂ in mmen-CuBTTri was calculated from the single-component isotherm data. For CO₂ capture, this value typically reports the ratio of the adsorbed amount of CO₂ at 0.15 bar to the adsorbed amount of N₂ at 0.75 bar; the value is normalized for the pressures chosen, according to eqn (1).

\[
S = \frac{q_{\text{CO}_2}}{q_{\text{N}_2}} \frac{p_{\text{CO}_2}}{p_{\text{N}_2}}
\]

(1)

The values are derived from an approximate flue gas composition of 15% CO₂, 75% N₂, and 10% other gases, at a total pressure of 1 bar. Pure-component isotherm selectivities, which frequently are
calculated from the excess adsorption data directly measured by gas adsorption, can be misleading. The adsorption selectivity, $S_{\text{IAST}}$, was therefore modeled by applying the Ideal Adsorbed Solution Theory (IAST) to the calculated absolute adsorption isotherms.\textsuperscript{44} The accuracy of the IAST procedure has been established for the adsorption of a wide variety of gas mixtures in different zeolites, as well as CO$_2$ capture in metal–organic frameworks.\textsuperscript{45} For mmen-CuBTTri, $S_{\text{IAST}}$ values for a mixture of 0.15 bar CO$_2$ and 0.75 bar N$_2$ at three temperatures were calculated to be 327 (25 °C), 200 (35 °C), and 123 (45 °C). To the best of our knowledge, the selectivity observed at 25 °C is the highest value yet reported for a metal–organic framework.

**Isosteric heat of adsorption and working capacity**

Utilizing a dual-site Langmuir adsorption model, isosteric heats of adsorption were calculated for CO$_2$ in mmen-CuBTTri. Details of the model can be found in the ESI†. Fig. 3 compares these values to those obtained from data for bare CuBTTri, which were fit using a single-site Langmuir model to give a value of $-24$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. The N$_2$ adsorption isotherm for mmen-CuBTTri was also fit to a single-site Langmuir model, resulting in a calculated isosteric heat of adsorption of $-15$ kJ mol$^{-1}$.

The isosteric heat of CO$_2$ adsorption in mmen-CuBTTri approaches $-96$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ at zero coverage, corresponding to the largest value yet reported for CO$_2$ adsorption in a metal–organic framework. We previously reported a value of $-90$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for CO$_2$ adsorption in en-CuBTTri, as calculated by fitting the Clausius–Clapeyron relation to excess adsorption values. For comparison to mmen-CuBTTri, the heat of adsorption for en-CuBTTri was recalculated with the same dual-site Langmuir model. Because this model incorporates absolute adsorption, direct comparisons between the two different models are not possible. From the dual-site Langmuir model, we calculate the isosteric heat of CO$_2$ adsorption in en-CuBTTri to be $-78$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ at zero coverage (see Fig. S9, ESI†), nearly 20 kJ mol$^{-1}$ lower in magnitude than the heat calculated for mmen-CuBTTri.

We do not attribute the greater heat of adsorption of mmen-CuBTTri to any enhanced adsorption characteristics of secondary amines. Rather, mmen-CuBTTri has a significantly larger number of free amines available to bind guest CO$_2$ molecules. Because isosteric heats correspond to the average of all adsorption sites potentially populated at a specific coverage level, at zero coverage there is a higher probability of the CO$_2$ molecule adsorbing onto an amine in mmen-CuBTTri compared with en-CuBTTri. Despite the large binding enthalpy between alkylamines and CO$_2$, the substantial decrease in the $-Q_{\text{st}}$ data with loading indicates that many weaker adsorption sites are also being sampled by the CO$_2$ molecules under the conditions probed. While amine steric may play a role in the improved adsorption properties of mmen-CuBTTri, we cannot yet make comparisons between isosteric heats of adsorption as a function of amine steric because of the differences in amine loading levels.

Isosteric heats of adsorption are commonly calculated after fitting adsorption equilibrium data to the Virial equation. Fig. S8, ESI†, overlays the isosteric heats of adsorption calculated for mmen-CuBTTri using both the dual-site Langmuir and the virial methods. At zero coverage, the virial method gives a significantly lower magnitude for the heat of adsorption: $-66$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. S10, ESI†, however, inflections in the isotherms for mmen-CuBTTri are not accurately modeled with the virial method. We therefore believe the values of the dual-site model are significantly more accurate at low CO$_2$ loadings.\textsuperscript{17} In contrast, at intermediate loadings, there is good agreement between the dual-site Langmuir and virial fits. While mmen-CuBTTri exhibits a large heat of adsorption at zero loading, heats of adsorption at intermediate loadings are of course also important for CO$_2$ capture. At a loading of 2.4 mmol g$^{-1}$, the approximate capacity of mmen-CuBTTri for CO$_2$ at 0.15 bar, the isosteric heat of adsorption was calculated to be about $-45$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ by both the dual-site Langmuir and virial models. Hence, in CO$_2$ capture applications, the average enthalpy of adsorption for CO$_2$ would be significantly less than the $-96$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ value calculated for very low coverage levels. This has important implications for adsorbent regeneration.

Solid adsorbents with large isosteric heats of adsorption have considerable advantages including high selectivity and high capacity for CO$_2$ at low partial pressures; however, they are often believed to be the most difficult to regenerate. Regeneration, however, is very dependent on the method best suited to a given material. For carbon capture from flue gas streams, vacuum and temperature swing adsorption methodologies are the ones most frequently envisioned. Vacuum swing adsorption can best be approximated by the difference in capacities between the adsorption and desorption pressures. For mmen-CuBTTri, we calculate a ca. 7 wt% working capacity between 0.15 and 0.02 bar at 25 °C. For temperature swing methods, adsorbents with high heats of adsorption may prove to be better candidates than materials with moderate heats of adsorption. This is because the capacities of adsorbents with high heats of adsorption are more dependent on temperature than materials with smaller heats of adsorption.\textsuperscript{18} The significantly greater working capacities of strongly binding adsorbents may lead to materials that are less expensive to operate than those that have smaller working capacities.

We sought to test the cyclability of the material as a CO$_2$ adsorbent using a combined temperature swing and nitrogen...
purge approach. Utilizing a thermogravimetric analyzer, a mixture of 15% CO₂ in N₂ was introduced into the furnace for 5 min at 25 °C. As shown in Fig. 4, the sample mass increased by nearly 7% upon introduction of the mixed gas, due to strong adsorption of CO₂, even in the dilute mixture. The adsorbent was then regenerated by changing the flow to a pure N₂ stream followed by rapid ramping of the furnace at 5 °C min⁻¹ to 60 °C. The sample was held for 2 min at 60 °C, and then cooled at 5 °C min⁻¹ to 25 °C. The temperature was allowed to stabilize for 2 min, followed by the reintroduction of the 15% CO₂ in N₂ mixture. The 27 min cycling procedure was repeated 72 times, with no apparent change in capacity. The kinetics of the adsorption are sufficiently quick that little additional CO₂ is adsorbed after the first few minutes, and even shorter cycle times could be utilized with only small reductions in capacity. Similarly, complete desorption is realized prior to the end of each 2 min isotherm. Importantly, the cycling capacity of mmen-CuBTTri under dry conditions is comparable to or even greater than the working capacity of a 30% MEA solution, which is frequently reported as 5.5 wt%.[19]

**Infrared spectra**

Heats of adsorption approaching ~100 kJ mol⁻¹ and highly specific interactions are indicative of chemisorptive processes. In the absence of water, we believe that the electrophilic CO₂ molecule is accepting electron density from the lone pair of the free amine of mmen, forming a zwitterionic carbamate. Previous spectroscopic studies of CO₂ binding in amine-containing metal–organic frameworks have investigated only less basic aromatic amines.[20] For example, it was recently shown in NH₂-MIL-53 (Al) that the amine was not directly interacting with the CO₂, but rather, other physisorptive processes account for the favorable adsorption characteristics of the material.[21]

Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier-transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) measurements were performed on mmen-CuBTTri using a high-pressure (0–3 bar) gas cell to confirm and characterize the proposed chemisorptive process. Fig. 5 plots the infrared absorbance of mmen-CuBTTri under various pressures of CO₂. Most notably, a total disappearance of the N–H band at 3283 cm⁻¹ is clearly observed upon introduction of 5% CO₂ in He into the cell at increasing pressures. The reported frequency for the N–H stretch in free mmen is 3279 cm⁻¹.[22] Upon regeneration of the solid under vacuum and heating at 60 °C (blue), the N–H stretch reappeared.

In Fig. 5, infrared spectra obtained upon exposure of mmen-CuBTTri to a 5% CO₂/95% He gas mixture in a high-pressure DRIFTS cell. Under dry conditions, the N–H stretch of mmen is apparent at 3283 cm⁻¹ (vertical dashed line) on a fully evacuated sample (purple). Dilute CO₂ in He was slowly introduced into the cell (red) up to a dynamic pressure of 1.5 bar (green). Upon saturation, the N–H stretch fully disappeared. Following reactivation under vacuum and heating at 60 °C (blue), the N–H stretch reappeared.

**Fig. 4** Upon introduction of a 15% CO₂ mixture in N₂ at 25 °C, the mass of mmen-CuBTTri increased by nearly 7% as measured by thermogravimetric analysis. Upon saturation, a N₂ purge flow with a temperature swing to 60 °C fully regenerated the material with no apparent capacity loss after 72 cycles. Note that the data are uncorrected for changes in buoyancy, which are small compared to changes in sample mass; this accounts for the effective negative mass of the sample at 60 °C. The sample mass was normalized to 0% at 25 °C under a flowing N₂ atmosphere.

**Fig. 5** Infrared spectra obtained upon exposure of mmen-CuBTTri to a 5% CO₂/95% He gas mixture in a high-pressure DRIFTS cell. Under dry conditions, the N–H stretch of mmen is apparent at 3283 cm⁻¹ (vertical dashed line) on a fully evacuated sample (purple). Dilute CO₂ in He was slowly introduced into the cell (red) up to a dynamic pressure of 1.5 bar (green). Upon saturation, the N–H stretch fully disappeared. Following reactivation under vacuum and heating at 60 °C (blue), the N–H stretch reappeared.
measurements have been performed on other porous materials, most notably amine-grafted mesoporous silicas. In these materials, however, two amines can often act concertedly and the experiments frequently incorporated water vapor, making direct comparisons difficult. It has been previously reported, however, in dry amino acid-based ionic liquids that zwiterionic CO$_2^-$ species exhibit a sharp infrared band near 1660 cm$^{-1}$. From the large calculated heat of adsorption and observed band changes in the infrared region upon CO$_2$ addition, we believe that the primary mechanism of CO$_2$ adsorption at low pressures is the chemisorption of CO$_2$ gas onto amine molecules, resulting in the formation of zwiterionic carbamates or carbamic acid.

Steric effects on diamine incorporation

The framework CuBTTri was additionally modified with N-methylethlenedianamine (men), an asymmetric diamine with one primary and one secondary amine. Relative to the performance of men-CuBTTri, men-CuBTTri performs more similarly to en-CuBTTri, for which only a small enhancement in CO$_2$ uptake was observed at very low pressures. A small improvement in performance was, however, realized through the use of men over en. Additional information about the synthesis, characterization, and gas sorption properties of men-CuBTTri has been included in the ESI†.

The significantly greater adsorption of CO$_2$ in men-CuBTTri is attributable to the larger number of amines that are accessible to guest CO$_2$ molecules. There are two primary factors affecting the incorporation of primary amines into CuBTTri. First, the best fits to the elemental analysis data indicate at least two times as many diamine molecules were incorporated into men-CuBTTri vs. the en- and men-analogues. A reasonable explanation for the higher incorporation of men into the framework is the formation of a weaker coordinate bond between the secondary amine on men and a framework Cu$^{2+}$ ion compared with the relatively stronger coordinate bond formed between a primary amine and a Cu$^{2+}$ ion. The significantly greater reversibility of men binding with Cu$^{2+}$ imparts it with a faster diffusion rate through the pores such that men molecules that bonded with copper sites near pore openings were labile in the hot hexane solution employed for grafting. These diamines were capable of migrating deeper into pores to achieve high surface coverage. In the case of primary amines, the irreversibility of the coordinate bond at the synthesis conditions surveyed severely limited the diffusion of en and men into the pores. Once appended, additional diamines could only diffuse through constrained pores to reach interior metal sites. Note that in men-CuBTTri, the primary amine end of men is coordinated to the metal centers while the sterically hindered secondary amine is available to interact with guest molecules in the pores. Increased reversibility of en and men binding was sought through the use of higher boiling solvents and lower concentrations; however, grafting temperatures that significantly exceeded 100 °C resulted in the decomposition of the framework.

Second, based upon the calculated heats of adsorption for en- and men-CuBTTri (see Fig. S9, ESI†), the number of amines that were strongly adsorbing CO$_2$ was significantly less than the number of amines that were appended in each framework. This is because not all of the amines in the en- and men-frameworks bonded to open metal sites. Grafted amines severely reduced the pore diameters, slowing diffusion rates as previously discussed. Some of these pores then became blocked by the excess amines. Amines and other adsorption sites beyond these blockages were inaccessible to guest gases. This was confirmed by the low surface areas calculated for en- and men-CuBTTri (see Table S6, ESI†). By comparison, the surface area for men-CuBTTri was significantly higher despite the greater number of total amines calculated to be within the framework.

Future work will focus on confirming the proposed mechanism for amine migration through the pores as well as identifying other metal–organic frameworks that are more suitable for incorporation of amines containing primary amines.

Conclusions

The incorporation of alkylamine functional groups onto surfaces can greatly improve the CO$_2$ adsorption characteristics of metal–organic frameworks. Amines do not necessarily simply polarize CO$_2$; rather, they strongly and selectively bind it via chemisorptive interactions. The new compound men-CuBTTri has the highest selectivity and binding strength for CO$_2$ of any metal–organic framework reported to date. Despite its large isosteric heat of CO$_2$ adsorption, the material can quickly be regenerated using mild temperature swings.

Amines tethered to solid surfaces within porous materials have considerable advantages over aqueous alkanolamines. Understanding the effects of other gases on CO$_2$ adsorption, especially water vapor, is important for all metal–organic frameworks being considered for CO$_2$ capture, and studies to that end for men-CuBTTri are currently underway.
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