Metal–Ligand Cooperativity via Exchange Coupling Promotes Iron-Catalyzed Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction at Low Overpotentials
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ABSTRACT: Biological and heterogeneous catalysts for the electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) often exhibit a high degree of electronic delocalization that serves to minimize overpotential and maximize selectivity over the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). Here, we report a molecular iron(II) system that captures this design concept in a homogeneous setting through the use of a redox non-innocent terpyridine-based pentapyridine ligand (tpyPY2Me). As a result of strong metal–ligand exchange coupling between the Fe(II) center and ligand, [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]²⁺ exhibits redox behavior at potentials 640 mV more positive than the isostructural [Zn(tpyPY2Me)]²⁺ analog containing the redox-inactive Zn(II) ion. This shift in redox potential is attributed to the requirement for both an open-shell metal ion and a redox non-innocent ligand. The metal–ligand cooperativity in [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]²⁺ drives the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO at low overpotentials with high selectivity for CO₂RR (>90%) and turnover frequencies of 100 000 s⁻¹ with no degradation over 20 h. The decrease in the thermodynamic barrier engendered by this coupling also enables homogeneous CO₂ reduction catalysis in water without compromising selectivity or rates. Synthesis of the two-electron reduction product, [Fe(tpyPY2Me)⁰], and characterization overpotentials with high selectivity for CO₂RR (>90%) and turnover frequencies of 100 000 s⁻¹ with no degradation over 20 h.

INTRODUCTION

The electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction reaction (CO₂RR) provides opportunities to synthesize value-added products from this greenhouse gas in a sustainable manner.¹,² Efficient catalysts for this reaction are required to selectively drive CO₂ reduction over the thermodynamic and kinetically competitive hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).³ Biological,⁴ heterogeneous,⁵ and molecular⁶ catalysts have been studied for CO₂ reduction, and molecular systems in particular offer potential advantages such as their small size relative to enzymes and the fact that they can be tuned with a level of atomic precision that is inaccessible with heterogeneous materials.⁶ However, despite advances in the development of molecular catalysts with highly specialized ligand scaffolds, including cyclam,⁷⁻¹⁰ porphyrins and phthalocyanines,¹¹⁻¹⁵ and tricarbonyl-bipyridines,¹⁶,¹⁷ it remains a challenge to design molecules that exhibit low overpotentials, high turnover numbers, and compatibility with aqueous electrolytes to avoid off-pathway HER processes. In this regard, one key advantage of biological and heterogeneous catalysts is their ability to minimize overpotential and maximize selectivity for CO₂ reduction via electronic delocalization, either through electron-tunneling pathways¹⁸,¹⁹ or a high local density of states,²⁰⁻²² respectively. Such systems can thereby achieve organization and separation of reducing equivalents in a way that is challenging to design in molecular systems.

Previous work from our laboratories has explored the chemistry of the PYSMes₂ ligand (2,6-bis(1,1-bis(2-pyridyl)ethyl)pyridine) and its molybdenum³⁻²⁵ and cobalt²⁶ derivatives as catalysts for the HER. We reasoned that by incorporating a redox-active pendant such as terpyridine²⁷⁻²⁹ within this ligand scaffold, it would be possible to increase metal–ligand orbital mixing and delocalization of electron density away from the metal center to favor CO₂ reduction (Figure 1). Precedent for the use of redox non-innocent ligands in molecular electrochemical CO₂RR has shown the viability of this strategy,³⁰⁻³³ along with classic systems bearing strong metal–ligand cooperativity such as metal-dithiolenes for HER.⁴⁰⁻⁴³ Indeed, the suppression of metal-centered reduc-
Bioinspired design of a molecular iron polypyridyl complex for electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction catalysis. The molecular CO₂ reduction catalyst [Fe1]²⁺ was designed to feature a mononuclear iron center supported by a redox-active pendant in a robust synthetic polypyridyl scaffold, inspired by the active site of mononuclear iron hydrogenase. 

**Figure 1.** Bioinspired design of a molecular iron polypyridyl complex for electrochemical carbon dioxide reduction catalysis. The molecular CO₂ reduction catalyst [Fe1]²⁺ was designed to feature a mononuclear iron center supported by a redox-active pendant in a robust synthetic polypyridyl scaffold, inspired by the active site of mononuclear iron hydrogenase.

**Figure 2.** Chemical structures of [Fe1]²⁺ and the coordination complexes used as controls to disentangle the contributions of the ligand and the metal center in catalysis. (a) Chemical structure of [Fe1]²⁺ highlighting cooperativity between the open-shell iron center and redox non-innocent tpyPY2Me ligand. (b) The diamagnetic analog containing the redox-silent Zn(II) center, [Zn1]²⁺, enables the role of the iron center to be probed with the same redox non-innocent ligand scaffold. Likewise, (c) [Fe(PY5Me2)(CH₃CN)]²⁺ and (d) [Zn(PY5Me2)(CH₃CN)]²⁺ both feature the redox-innocent PY5Me₂ as a related pentapyridine ligand and thus aid in identifying the contributions of the redox-active terpyridine fragment within the polypyridyl scaffold.
center. To aid in identifying the role of the redox-active terpyridine fragment of the polypyridyld scaffold, [Fe(PY5Me2) - (CH3CN)]2+ \(^{50}\) and [Zn(PY5Me2)(CH3CN)]\(^{2+}\) complexes bearing the related pentapyridine PY5Me ligand without polypyridine conjugation were synthesized. Indeed, irreversible reduction of the PY5Me ligand occurs at very negative potentials (between \(-2.0\) and \(-2.5\) V vs Fe/Fe\(^+\)) and is coupled to catalyst decomposition; thus it serves as a polypyridyl redox-innocent ligand control (Figure 2).

A comparison of the solid-state structures of [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) and [Fe(PY5Me2)(CH3CN)]\(^{2+}\) \(^{50}\) obtained via single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) revealed that the enhanced rigidity of the tpyPY2Me ligand enforces a more distorted pseudo-octahedral \((O_h)\) geometry in [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) relative to [Fe(PY5Me2) - (CH3CN)]\(^{2+}\), which adopts an almost ideal \(O_h\) geometry (Figure 3a; Tables S1 and S2). In particular, occupation of the iron equatorial plane by the three terpyridine nitrogen atoms and coordination of the pyridine arms to axial and equatorial positions induces severe axial distortions in [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) that are absent in [Fe(PY5Me2)(CH3CN)]\(^{2+}\) (Table S2). This distortion also significantly compresses the axial bond angle in [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) \((173.64(6)^\circ)\) whereas the corresponding angle in [Fe(PY5Me2)(CH3-CN)]\(^{2+}\) is nearly linear \((178.53(7)^\circ)\) (Figure 3a). Distortion of the primary coordination sphere as a result of the enhanced ligand rigidity of tpyPY2Me is also observed for the control analog bearing the redox-silent Zn(II) center, [Zn\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\). The solid-state structure of [Zn\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) (Figure S1; Tables S3 and S4) shows that tpyPY2Me enforces a severely distorted square pyramidal coordination geometry with the terpyridine nitrogen atoms occupying equatorial positions. This structure departs quite significantly from the \(O_h\) geometry observed for [Zn(PY5Me2)(CH3-CN)]\(^{2+}\)\(^{26}\).

The structure of [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) remains more distorted than that of [Fe(PY5Me2)(CH3-CN)]\(^{2+}\) in solution, as verified by \(^1\)H NMR spectra collected for both complexes in CD\(_3\)CN at 295 K. The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of Fe(PY5Me2)(CH3-CN)\(^{2+}\) features five sharp signals consistent with a \(C_{3v}\) symmetric complex with low-spin Fe(II) \((S = 0)\), whereas the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) features slightly more broadened resonances with no well-defined symmetry (Figure S2). Alternatively, [Zn\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) shows a \(^1\)H NMR spectrum with all expected ligand resonances accounted for, consistent with its diamagnetic ground state (see Supporting Information). Using the Evans method, we measured an effective magnetic moment \((\mu_{\text{eff}})\) of 1.27 \(\mu_B\) for [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) at 293 K, which is indicative of a low-spin Fe(II) ground state \((S = 0)\) with a population of thermally accessible spin excited states at room temperature (Figure S3). Because the [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) bond lengths measured at 100 K are in agreement with those of other low-spin Fe(II) polypyridyl complexes,\(^{50}\) the collective data suggest that [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) undergoes a spin-state transition between 100 K and room temperature. To further probe the spin-state transition of [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\), variable-temperature \(^1\)H NMR spectra were collected in CD\(_3\)CN across a temperature range of 233 to 353 K (Figure S4). At 293 K, resonances at 9.47, 7.73, 7.12, and 6.74 ppm appear as very broad singlets and upon heating the sample to 353 K, these peaks become undetectable due to broadening into the baseline (Figure S4b). Upon stepwise cooling, the resonances start to become fully resolved. At 233 K, integration of the aryl region accounts for the 18 proton signals expected for [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\). This temperature-dependent signal broadening is in line with our interpretation of a population of thermally accessible spin excited states. Due to the absence of systematic, temperature-dependent paramagnetic shifts of the [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) resonances, we were unable to quantify the spin equilibrium from the \(^1\)H NMR data alone. Nevertheless, variable temperature Evans method data further revealed a gradual increase in \(\mu_{\text{eff}}\) upon heating, in agreement with the occurrence of a spin transition process in solution (Figure S5). The limited temperature range available in acetonitrile and limited solubility of [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) in alternative solvents to expand this temperature range prevents isolation of a pure population of low-spin Fe(II) \((\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.20 \mu_B\) at 233 K) and the transition is incomplete at the highest available temperature \((\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.33 \mu_B\) at 353 K), precluding accurate determination of the spin-equilibrium transition \((T_{1/2})\).

Zero-field \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectra collected for [Fe\(^{1}\)]\(^{2+}\) at 5 and 295 K further support such a spin state transition for the Fe(II) center (Figure S6 and Tables S5). At 5 K, the spectrum features a symmetric Lorentzian doublet with isomer shift \((\delta)\) and quadrupole splitting \((\Delta E_{Q})\) values of 0.3562(4) and 1.0203(8) mm/s, respectively, consistent with a low-spin iron(II) (Figure S6a and Tables S5). The density functional theory (DFT) computed Mössbauer parameters closely match the experimental values within the well-established uncertainty.
the Redox Non-Innocent Terpyridine Fragment. The presence of a redox-active terpyridine moiety in tpyPY2Me also gives rise to unique electrochemical behavior for [Fe1]2+ and [Zn1]2+ relative to their PY5Me2 analogues. The room-temperature cyclic voltammogram (CV) of [Fe1]2+ collected in CH3CN under Ar exhibits three reversible features: a one-electron oxidation at $E_{1/2} = 0.66$ V (versus Fc/Fc') assigned to the iron(III/II) couple and two, closely spaced, one-electron redox processes that are centered at $-1.43$ V (Figure 4a).

Further chemical characterization of this redox behavior indicates that these redox events are two sequential ligand-based reductions (tpyPY2Me0/1− and tpyPY2Me1−/2−) (vide infra). During constant potential coulometry of 7.6 × 10−3 mmol of [Fe1]2+ conducted at $-1.60$ V vs Fc/Fc' under Ar atmosphere, 1.58 C of charge was passed equating to 2.1 electrons per molecule of [Fe1]2+, supporting this assignment as two closely spaced one-electron redox waves centered at $-1.43$ V vs Fc/Fc' (Figure S8). No additional features were observed when scanning to more negative potentials.

In contrast, under the same conditions, the cyclic voltammogram of [Zn1]2+ exhibits two, well-separated, reversible, one-electron ligand-centered reductions with $E_{1/2}$ of $-1.56$ and $-2.07$ V as well as an additional irreversible redox event (tentatively assigned as tpyPY2Me2−/−3−) at $-2.41$ V (Figure 4a). The first ligand centered reduction of [Zn1]2+ occurs at a comparable potential to that of [Fe1]2+ but the second ligand centered reduction is shifted 640 mV more negative and it is this disparate electrochemical behavior between [Fe1]2+ and [Zn1]2+ that indicates a degree of communication between the reduced tpyPY2Me ligand and the open-shell iron center.
that is not possible in the isostructural complex containing the closed-shell, redox-silent zinc center. In contrast, ligand-based reductions in [Fe(PSMe2)(CH3CN)]2+ and [Zn(PSMe2)-(CH3CN)]2+ are electrochemically irreversible and occur at potentials negative of −2.0 V (Figure 4b), highlighting the crucial role of the terpyridyl fragment in achieving electrochemically reversible reduction events at relatively positive potentials.

**Electrochemical Reduction of CO2 Catalyzed by [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]2+ in Organic Solution.** Under an atmosphere of CO2, CV data collected for [Fe1]2+ exhibit only a subtle increase in current relative to scans under Ar; however, when excess phenol (3.5 M) is added as a proton source, two large catalytic waves appear at ca. −1.50 and −2.0 V versus Fe/Fe’ (Figure 4c). The PSMe2 analog [Fe(PSMe2)(CH3CN)]2+ also displays catalytic currents upon addition of CO2 and phenol, but the onset current for catalysis is >500 mV more negative than that observed for [Fe1]2+ (Figure 4d). Phenol titrations conducted with solutions of [Fe1]2+ under a CO2 atmosphere revealed a dose-dependence on phenol concentration (Figure S9a). The electrochemical response is mitigated when control phenol titrations are collected under an Ar atmosphere, suggesting that [Fe1]2+ selectively catalyzes electrochemical CO2 reduction (CO2RR) over the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), even at high concentrations of organic acid (Figure S9b). As anticipated, the isostructural Zn(II) analog [Zn1]2+ does not show similar increases in catalytic current at such positive potentials (Figure S10), establishing that the redox non-innocent tpyPY2Me ligand alone with a Lewis acid center is not sufficient for promoting CO2RR activity.

**Controlled Potential Electrolysis Studies for Direct Product Measurements of Electrochemical CO2RR Catalyzed by [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]2+ in Organic Solution.** Preparative-scale controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) experiments were conducted in CO2-saturated acetonitrile with 3.5 M phenol across a range of applied potentials (−1.35 to −1.98 V versus Fc/Fc’), and the products were quantified by gas chromatography. We find that CO is the major product of CO2RR. Importantly, we note that subsequent turnover number and turnover rate values reported in this work are derived from these direct measurements of product, as opposed to indirect methods derived from transient CV currents with electrochemical assumptions.

Because the utility of a catalyst is benchmarked by its rate and overpotential (η), the applied potentials were also converted into overpotentials following the methods reported by Mayer and Matsubara and co-workers. Accurate conversion necessitates that the thermodynamic potential for CO2 reduction to CO is known under the experimental conditions. Whereas the aqueous standard potential is known (−0.106 V vs SHE; −0.730 V vs Fc/Fc’), it is difficult to experimentally determine in organic solvents where the identity and amount of the proton source complicate the measurements. This caveat often leads to the use of estimated values that do not match the experimental conditions or rely on thermodynamic assumptions that do not rigorously apply, such as taking the CO2 and CO standard states to be 1 M13,14 or assuming anhydrous conditions without reporting measured water concentrations or using anhydrous organic acids, leading to potential inconsistencies in the literature with regard to reported overpotentials. With the goal of increasing standardization and transparency in molecular electrocatalysis, we report the applied potentials from our CPE experiments in both overpotential and versus Fc/Fc’ and use a conservative estimate of $E_{CO/CO}$ (−1.27 V versus Fc/Fc’55 obtained from measured pH values for acetonitrile–water mixtures (see Supporting Information for additional details). It should be noted that this value is 770 mV more positive than for “dry” acetonitrile and 70 mV more positive than the previously estimated standard redox potential for “wet” acetonitrile derived by assigning unusual gas standard states.13,14 Tafel plots that compare catalyst activity are plotted to show both the calculated overpotential as well as the directly measured potential versus the reference electrode used in the experiments. We reason that reporting both values should lead to more clarity in benchmarking catalysts.

[Fe1]2+ is highly selective for CO2 reduction over hydrogen evolution across the entire potential window examined (Figure 5a and Figures S11 and S12; Table S7), with averaged specific current densities for CO production ($j_{CO}$) reaching as high as 3.6 mA/cm² (Figure 5a and Figures S11 and S12; Table S7).
Faradaic efficiency for CO production (FE\textsubscript{CO}) reaches 94% at an overpotential of 190 mV (−1.46 V vs Fe/C) and increases to 100% with the application of overpotentials between 320 and 710 mV (−1.59 and −1.98 V vs Fe/C) (Figure 5a and Figure S11; Table S7). This high activity and selectivity for CO production at applied potentials as low as −1.46 V vs Fe/C is a substantial decrease in the energy requirements compared to other molecular catalysts and sits among the most efficient reports of catalysts.24,27−29,59−61 Significantly, no CO and only small amounts of H\textsubscript{2} (FE\textsubscript{H\textsubscript{2}} = 44%) were detected in analogous CPE experiments with [Fe(PY5Me\textsubscript{2})(CH\textsubscript{3}CN)]\textsuperscript{2+} at much larger overpotentials (Figure S13). Moreover, variable potential CPE experiments with [Zn1]\textsuperscript{2+} conducted between −1.66 V and −2.41 V vs Fe/C produced only trace amounts of CO (FE\textsubscript{CO} = 1−6%) and a small amount of H\textsubscript{2} (FE\textsubscript{H\textsubscript{2}} = 1−20%), further illustrating the importance of metal−ligand cooperativity for efficient CO\textsubscript{2}RR catalysis (Figure S14).

Control experiments strongly support the homogeneous molecular nature of [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+}. First, CO\textsubscript{2} reduction was not observed in the absence of [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} (Figure S15). Furthermore, cyclic voltammetry and UV−vis data collected before and after 1 h CPE experiments are indistinguishable, attesting to the bulk stability of [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} under electrolysis conditions (Figure S16). Finally, the possible formation of an electrode−adsorbed active catalyst was excluded through a series of control experiments. Therefore, we instead extracted kinetic parameters directly from our variable potential CPE experiments (Figure S11), as described by Savéant and co-workers,64,65 where the products (CO and H\textsubscript{2}) were detected and quantified by gas chromatography (Figures S21 and S22). The observed rate constants (k\textsubscript{obs}) at each applied potential were extracted from the averaged specific current density for CO production (j\textsubscript{CO}) taken across the entire 1 h CPE experiments (Figure S11) that were conducted in triplicate (see Supporting Information for additional details).

A catalytic Tafel plot was constructed from our CPE experiments with direct product quantification (Figure 5b) and is summarized in Table S7. Tafel analysis identifies [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} as one of the most active molecular electrocatalysts reported to date with it achieving a turnover frequency (TOF) of 75,000 s\textsuperscript{−1} at an applied overpotential as low as 190 mV (−1.46 V vs Fe/C).
Fe(II) and reaching TOFs > 50 000 s⁻¹ between overpotentials of 560–710 mV (−1.81 and −1.98 V vs Fe/Ce⁰) (Figure S23). Notably, this rapid, homogeneous CO₂ reduction catalysis is achieved without any modifications to the secondary coordination sphere to introduce hydrogen bond/proton-relay functionalities to break electronic scaling relationships.⁸⁻¹³,¹⁴,⁴⁷,⁶⁶–⁶⁹

In parallel, we also attempted to apply foot-of-the-wave analysis (FOWA) as pioneered by Savenet et al. to estimate the kinetics based solely on CVs collected in the presence and absence of CO₂. FOWA estimates the idealized catalytic reactivity by considering the initial portion of the catalytic wave where the effects of side phenomena are expected to be minimal. Unfortunately, FOWA does not find clear application here because the linear region of the FOW is very small and is defined due to the appearance of a pre-wave feature at −1.36 V vs Fe/Fc⁰ and a multi-segmented catalytic wave with apparent maxima at −1.56 and −1.96 V vs Fe/Fc⁰ (Figures 4c and S24). The existence of this multi-segmented catalytic wave may suggest that the catalytic resting state is changing as more negative potentials are applied. Therefore, the extraction of kinetic data based on our CPE experiments results in more accurate analysis as they are determined from preparative scale experiments that directly detect and measure CO rather than rely on estimations of observed rate constants determined from CV data.

Finally, to assess the long-term catalytic stability and recyclability of [Fe1]⁰, four consecutive 5 h CPE experiments were conducted at −1.98 V (versus Fe/Fc⁰), the highest potential investigated, without addition of fresh [Fe1]²⁺ between each experiment (Figure S25). [Fe1]²⁺ is remarkably stable with 221 C of charge passed over the 20 h window without any loss in selectivity for CO (average FE₆₀ = 87%).

Chemical Reduction and Characterization of [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]⁰ ([Fe1]⁰). We reasoned that the observed electrocatalytic efficiency of [Fe1]²⁺ for CO₂RR stems from a synergistic effect between the iron center and the redox-active tpyPY2Me ligand, as both components are necessary to achieve selective CO₂RR reactivity. To establish a molecular-level framework for understanding this metal–ligand cooperativity, we sought to synthesize, isolate, and characterize the two-electron reduced complex, [Fe(tpyPY2Me)]²⁺ ([Fe1]²⁺), which we hypothesize has putative catalytic relevance. Chemical reduction of [Fe1]²⁺ with 2.2 equiv of decamethylcobaltocene (Figure 6a) produced [Fe1]⁰ as a dark purple solid. Interestingly, room temperature ¹H NMR analysis of [Fe1]⁰ in CD₃CN revealed that the compound is diamagnetic with the aryl and aliphatic signals shifted upfield (Figure 6b). In support of this result, a nearly identical ¹H NMR spectrum was obtained for the product [Zn1]⁰ generated from the chemical reduction of [Zn1]²⁺ with potassium graphite (see Figure S26 and details in the Supporting Information). These data are consistent with our assignment of the reduction features for both [Fe1]²⁺ and [Zn1]²⁺ as ligand-centered events. Frozen solution Fe K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) was used to characterize metal oxidation states (Figure 7). Absorption edge energies were determined from the second derivative zero crossings, giving the following values (eV): 7126.9 ([Fe1]⁰) and 7127.4 ([Fe1]²⁺). These values are similar to compounds in the literature¹⁰ leading to the assignment of the oxidation states of both species as Fe(II).

Given the unique diamagnetic ground state of [Fe1]⁰, two major electronic structures are possible. The first possibility is a low-spin iron(II) center (S_fe = 0) coordinated to a reduced closed-shell singlet ligand (S_{tpyPY2Me} = 0) generated by both electrons occupying the same π* orbital (i.e., [Fe(tpyPY2Me)²⁺]⁰). The other possibility is an intermediate-spin iron(II) center (S_fe = 1) antiferromagnetically coupled to a reduced ligand with an open-shell triplet configuration (S_{tpyPY2Me} = 1) through half occupation of both low-lying π* terpyridine orbitals, leading to an overall metal–ligand spin-coupled electronic structure (i.e., [Fe(tpyPY2Me)**]²⁺). The two electronic configurations are more clearly distinguished here by specifying the spin state of the ligand as either a singlet (tpyPY2Me)⁻ or a triplet (tpyPY2Me)**⁻ in the chemical formula. Because of the large anodic shift (640 mV) in the ligand-based reductions of [Fe1]²⁺ relative to [Zn1]²⁺ (Figure 4a), the electrochemistry indicates a strong influence of the metal center and supports assignment to a [Fe(tpyPY2Me)**]⁻ electronic configuration. In further support of this model, Mössbauer data for [Fe1]⁰ revealed an isomer shift of δ = 0.2608(2) mm/s and a quadrupole splitting of |ΔE_Q| = 0.7637(4) mm/s, consistent with the formation of intermediate-spin iron(II) (Figures 6c and S27).⁷¹ The antiferromagnetic coupling between the reduced biradical ligand, (tpyPY2Me)**⁻, and the intermediate-spin iron(II) is expected to be quite strong given that a diamagnetic ¹H NMR spectrum is observed at room temperature. Indeed, DFT calculations predict a large coupling constant of −1023 cm⁻¹, giving rise to a singlet-quintet gap of 4400 cm⁻¹ (see the Supporting Information).

Further insights into the electronic structure of [Fe1]⁰ can be gleaned from the solid-state structure of [Fe1]⁰. Single crystals of [Fe1]⁰ were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated acetonitrile solution, and X-ray diffraction analysis revealed a distorted square pyramidal geometry (Figure 6d; Tables S8 and S9) resulting from the loss of the axial acetonitrile ligand in [Fe1]²⁺. This structure is in good agreement with the reduction pathway predicted by DFT (vide infra). The bond metrics of the terpyridine moiety unambiguously supports the two-electron reduction of the tpyPY2Me ligand in the solid state and thus the iron(II) oxidation state assignment. In particular, the contraction of the intra-pyridine bond lengths (C_py−C_py), from an average of 1.474(3) Å in [Fe1]²⁺ to 1.434(4) Å in [Fe1]⁰ is consistent with the metrics with which the oxidation levels of terpyridine can be established as determined through structural studies on a series of iron(II) and chromium(III) bis-terpyridine complexes reported by Wiegandt and co-workers.⁷₂,⁷₃ Furthermore, average C−N bond lengths of the terpyridine

Figure 7. Experimental Fe K-edge XAS spectra of [Fe1]²⁺ (black) and two-electron reduced [Fe1]⁰ (red), supporting a formal Fe(II) oxidation state assignment for both species.
the predicted structure of the closed-shell singlet ([Fe(tpyPY2Me2−)])2+. The computed reduction potentials are given for reduction of [Fe1]2+ to [Fe1]1+ and [Fe1]0 to [Fe1]0. See Table S11 for the predicted reduction pathways for [Zn(1)]2+/0 and for the closed-shell singlet [Fe1]0, [Fe(tpyPY2Me)2−])2+. (b) Spin density plot and (c) simplified molecular orbital diagram of the one electron reduced intermediate [Fe1]1+. (d) Spin density plot and (e) simplified molecular orbital diagram of [Fe1]0 ([Fe(tpyPY2Me∗∗)−])9). Localized metal orbitals are depicted in the Supporting Information.

Figure 8. DFT analysis and calculated molecular orbitals for [Fe1]1+ and [Fe1]0 species. (a) DFT predicted pathway for reduction of [Fe1]2+ to the open-shell singlet [Fe1]1+, [Fe(tpyPY2Me∗∗)−])9. The computed reduction potentials are given for reduction of [Fe1]2+ to [Fe1]1+ and [Fe1]0 to [Fe1]0. See Table S11 for the predicted reduction pathways for [Zn(1)]2+/0 and for the closed-shell singlet [Fe1]0, [Fe(tpyPY2Me)2−])2+. (b) Spin density plot and (c) simplified molecular orbital diagram of the one electron reduced intermediate [Fe1]1+. (d) Spin density plot and (e) simplified molecular orbital diagram of [Fe1]0 ([Fe(tpyPY2Me∗∗)−])9). Localized metal orbitals are depicted in the Supporting Information.

moiety in [Fe1]0 (1.384(3) Å) depart quite significantly from what would be expected for typical, neutral aromatic pyridine rings (1.35 ± 0.1 Å, respectively)72 and what was observed in the solid state structure of [Fe1]2+ (1.353(2) Å).

Distinguishing between the two possible electronic configurations of [Fe1]0 based on the single crystal X-ray diffraction data is more challenging. The DFT predicted structure for the open-shell singlet ([Fe(tpyPY2Me∗∗)−])9 more closely matches the experimental bond metrics of [Fe1]0 than does the predicted structure of the closed-shell singlet ([Fe(tpyPY2Me−)])9); however the solid state structures are susceptible to additional packing and dispersion forces that are not accounted for by DFT calculations (Table S10). In theory, the unique bonding interactions of the two terpyridine LUMOs72–74 should enable us to directly distinguish between the two possible electronic configurations of [Fe1]0, but the change in bond metrics between the diamonic, singlet ligand (tpyPY2Me2−) and the triplet, π radical anion (tpyPY2Me∗∗−) is expected to be quite subtle given the metrics reported by Wieghardt and co-workers on a series of reduced homoleptic bis(terpyridine) complexes ([M(tpy)2]−; M = Cr, Fe).72,73 Attempts to crystallize [Zn1]0 have been unsuccessful to date, thus preventing direct crystallographic comparison to [Fe1]0.

Although absolute determination of the electronic structure should not be based solely on X-ray diffraction, when it is taken together with the electrochemical, NMR, and Mössbauer results, the collective data support the iron(II) oxidation state assignment of [Fe1]0 as an open-shell singlet ground state ([Fe(tpyPY2Me∗∗)−])9 composed of an intermediate-spiniron(II) center (SFe = 1) that is antiferromagnetically coupled to a doubly reduced tpyPY2Me (Spy = 1) ligand. This strong exchange coupling shifts the tpyPY2Me-based reductions of [Fe1]2+ to more positive potentials relative to the analog with the redox-silent Zn(II) center by 640 mV, which we hypothesize ultimately promotes highly selective CO2 reduction by [Fe1]2+.

To further elucidate the electronic structures of [Fe1]2+/0, we subsequently applied extensive DFT and multireference methods.

Electronic Structure Calculations Support Strong Metal–Ligand Exchange Coupling Between Fe and tpyPY2Me Units. We carried out DFT calculations using the ωB97X-D functional to generate a more accurate molecular orbital picture of our [Fe1]2+ system. Optimized structures and predicted redox potentials for [Fe1]2+ and [Zn1]2+ are in good agreement with experimental data (Tables S11 and 12). Interestingly, although the DFT data indicate the metal center in [Fe1]2+ is best described as low-spin iron(II), the calculated singlet-quintet gap is small, explaining the observed thermal population of a higher spin-state at room temperature (Tables S13 and 14). The first reduction of [Fe1]2+ to [Fe1]1+ is predicted to generate a ground state doublet composed of a low-spin iron(II) and a tpyPY2Me-based radical (tpyPY2Me∗)1− with a predicted redox potential of −1.46 V (Figure 8a–c). The spin density plot of this [Fe1]1+ species indicates a ligand-based reduction with almost no excess spin on the iron center (Figure 8b). The second reduction populates a second low lying π∗ orbital of tpyPY2Me and induces loss of the axial acetonitrile...
molecule, providing access to an intermediate-spin iron(II) state (Figure 8a,d,e). The $S_{Fe} = 1$ iron center is strongly antiferromagnetically coupled to the two electrons in the tppyPY2Me $\pi^\ast$ orbitals (i.e., $[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$; Figure 8e). Localized orbital bonding analysis confirmed that iron remains in the 2$^+$ oxidation state during the second reduction process, which has a predicted reduction potential of $-1.51$ V. This predicted redox potential is in good agreement with that observed experimentally.

In contrast, the other feasible closed-shell singlet configuration: a low-spin iron(II) center ($S_{Fe} = 0$) coordinated to a reduced closed-shell doubly reduced singlet ligand ($S_{tpyPY2Me} = 0$) (i.e., $[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$), does not match the experimental data. The doubly reduced tpy ligand is strongly $\pi$ basic and thus delocalizes some electron density into the empty metal orbitals (distorted $e_g$-type). The computed reduction potential of $-2.03$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$ using the $[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$ electronic configuration does not match the experimental value of $-1.43$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$. This difference can be rationalized by the large free energy gap (12 kcal/mol) between the broken symmetry solution ($[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$) and the closed-shell singlet ($[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$) consisting of low-spin iron(II) ($S_{Fe} = 0$) and doubly reduced ligand ($S_{tpyPY2Me} = 0$) (Tables S13 and 14). It should also be noted that a large variety of different hybrid density functionals all predict the broken symmetry configuration as the ground state on the singlet surface (see Table S14).

In addition, multi-reference calculations using complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) confirmed the antiferromagnetically coupled ground state (see the Supporting Information). This calculation reveals a strong entanglement of the two ligand-based orbitals and the two metal $d$-orbitals. In particular, the axial distortion away from octahedral symmetry allows the $d_z^2$ and $d_x$ metal orbitals (or superposition of the two orbitals) to interact with the two tppyPY2Me $\pi^\ast$ orbitals in a weak $\pi$-type interaction (Figure S28). Hence, the novel ligand framework with two low-lying $\pi^\ast$ orbitals, a distorted coordination geometry, and a moderate ligand field in combination with the iron metal center stabilizes two excess electrons effectively. The spin transition alters both the energetics of the $d$-orbitals and local spin on the central metal to facilitate an effective antiferromagnetic coupling. In contrast, in the alternative closed-shell singlet electronic configuration ($[\text{Fe(tppyPY2Me)}^{2\ast}]^0$, $S_{Fe} = 0$ and $S_{tpy} = 0$), the stabilization of the doubly reduced tppyPY2Me $\pi^\ast$ ($S_{tpy} = 0$) by the high lying $e_g^\ast$ of the low-spin iron(II) ($S_{Fe} = 0$) is marginal. This feature is illustrated by the almost identical second ligand reduction potentials of $[\text{Zn1}]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Fe1}]^{2+}$ with a closed-shell singlet electronic structure ($-2.02$ V for $[\text{Zn1}]^{2+}$ and $-2.03$ V vs Fc/Fc$^+$ for $[\text{Fe1}]^{2+}$ with $S_{Fe} = 0$ and $S_{tpy} = 0$).

We note that a similar electronic structure involving this intramolecular antiferromagnetic coupling was proposed by Neese and co-workers for the electronic structure of another CO$_2$ reduction catalyst, the doubly reduced iron tetraphenylporphyrin complex, $[\text{Fe(TPP)}]^{2\ast}$. Through quantum mechanical calculations and spectroscopic data (Mössbauer and XAS) they assign both reductions of $[\text{Fe(TPP)}]^{2\ast}$ to the porphyrin ligand framework and show that it is coupled to an intermediate-spin iron center ($S_{Fe} = 1$) giving an overall singlet state. It should be noted that the iron center in the un-reduced iron(II) complex, $[\text{Fe(TPP)}]^0$, is intermediate-spin and therefore the metal center does not undergo a spin transition upon reduction. This behavior can be contrasted to the group VII CO$_2$ reduction catalyst, $[\text{Re(bpy)}(\text{CO})_3]^+$ (and its Mn derivative), where the quantum chemical calculations and spectroscopic data revealed that one electron is placed into the $\pi^\ast$ orbital of the non-innocent bpy ligand and the second electron is placed in the metal $d_{z^2}$ orbital but is strongly delocalized into the three carbonyl ligands. Both electrons form a metal–ligand bond (delocalized metal–ligand orbital) with a singlet ground state which is 15 kcal/mol lower in energy than the uncoupled triplet state. The “initial” $d$-orbitals are not involved in the stabilization of the excess electrons as they are in $[\text{Fe1}]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Fe(TPP)}]^0$.

**Electrochemical CO$_2$RR Catalyzed by [Fe(tppyPY2Me)]$^{2+}$ in Water.** Given the low overpotentials required for $[\text{Fe1}]^{2+}$ to catalyze homogeneous electrochemical CO$_2$ reduction in organic solvent, we sought to evaluate its activity in water (Figure 9). For this purpose, we prepared the more water-soluble nitrate analog of $[\text{Fe1}]^{2+}$ (see the Supporting Information), and X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that anion exchange does not alter the catalyst structure (Figure S29; Tables S15 and S16). As a cheap, abundant, and benign solvent and proton source, water is an attractive medium for CO$_2$ reduction. However, homogeneous molecular CO$_2$
reduction catalysts typically require mercury working electrodes to operate effectively in aqueous electrolytes because their onset potentials are too negative to be compatible with more desirable carbon-based electrodes that preferentially catalyze competing water reduction.\textsuperscript{6,7,76–79} Owing to the 640 mV positive shift in 2-electron reduction potential upon moving from Zn(II) to Fe(II), we envisioned that CO\textsubscript{2}RR could be favored over HER, even with water as both a solvent and proton source.

Against this backdrop, we were pleased to observe that cyclic voltammograms collected for [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} dissolved in 0.10 M NaHCO\textsubscript{3} with a carbon paste working electrode show the formation of a catalytic wave when saturated with CO\textsubscript{2} (Figure S30). Notably, variable potential CPE experiments (Figures S31–S35) revealed that [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} maintains its activity and selectivity for CO\textsubscript{2} reduction to CO in water (average FE\textsubscript{CO} = 92\% and FE\textsubscript{H2} < 1\%) with maximum turnover frequencies, determined from direct product detection, reaching >50 000 s\textsuperscript{−1} at overpotentials of 500 mV (Figure 9b). Importantly, control rinse tests passed negligible current during 1 h CPE experiments, and the selectivity was inverted such that H\textsubscript{2} is the major product (Figures S31–S36). UV–visible spectra collected before and after electrolysis are nearly indistinguishable; however, cyclic voltammograms change quite drastically following the 1 h CPE (Figure S37) indicative of some degree of catalyst deposition and decomposition on the glassy carbon electrode. In line with this observation, electrochemical stripping behavior is also observed, which may contribute to slower catalysis in water relative to organic solvent (Figure S38). Nevertheless, these data show that [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} is an effective molecular catalyst for homogeneous CO\textsubscript{2} reduction in water. Crucially, given its molecular nature, the activity, stability, and overpotential of this catalyst can in principle be tuned through ligand modifications accessible by synthetic chemistry. Further, the study of this catalyst and related systems has the potential to shed important insights on the behavior of materials catalysts bearing atomically dispersed iron sites.\textsuperscript{80}

\section*{CONCLUDING REMARKS}

In summary, inspired by the beneficial feature of electronic delocalization exhibited by biological and heterogeneous systems for electrochemical CO\textsubscript{2}RR catalysis to minimize overpotentials and favor CO\textsubscript{2}RR over competing HER pathways, even in aqueous media, we report a molecular system that captures this design concept. A new ligand framework that incorporates a redox-active terpyridine moiety into a modular polypyridyl scaffold can achieve highly selective and efficient iron-catalyzed electrochemical CO\textsubscript{2} reduction to CO in both organic and aqueous solutions with high specificity over competing hydrogen evolution pathways. Importantly, the combination of both an open-shell metal ion using an earth-abundant, first-row transition metal in conjunction with a redox non-innocent ligand enables metal-cooperativity through strong exchange coupling that shifts electrochemical reduction potentials to promote CO\textsubscript{2}RR catalysis. Specifically, the Fe(II) tpyPYMe2 analog exhibits a 640 mV positive shift in the 2-electron reduction potential relative to the isostructural analog bearing a redox-silent Zn(II) center, poised it for multielectron electrocatalysis with minimized overpotentials. Control experiments with Fe(II) and Zn(II) complexes bearing a related pentapyridine ligand lacking the redox-active terpyridine fragment and the same redox non-innocent ligand but with a redox-silent Zn(II) center show that CO\textsubscript{2}RR relies on synergistic coupling between both metal and ligand components.

The combination of distorted coordination geometry, low-lying π* orbitals, and moderate ligand field engendered by the rigid, redox non-innocent tpyPYMe2 ligand promotes strong metal–ligand cooperativity with the iron(II) center. [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} is shown to catalyze CO\textsubscript{2} reduction at extremely mild redox potentials (η = 190 mV; −1.46 V vsFc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}) relative to the control polypyridyl complexes presented in this study. The positive redox potentials at which CO\textsubscript{2}RR catalysis occurs is interpreted through extensive experimental spectroscopic (NMR, Mössbauer, and XAS), XRD, and electrochemical studies, along with electronic structure calculations, which collectively point to a model in which the two-electron reduced iron complex, [Fe1]\textsuperscript{0}, possesses an open-shell singlet ground state electronic structure ([Fe(tpyPYMe2\textsuperscript{**})\textsuperscript{2−}]\textsuperscript{3−})\textsuperscript{10} resulting from a strong intramolecular antiferromagnetic coupling between a triplet reduced ligand (tpyPYMe2 tpyPYMe2\textsuperscript{2−}; S\textsubscript{tpyPYMe2} = 1) and an intermediate-spin Fe(II) center (S\textsubscript{Fe} = 1). We propose that the multielectron chemistry of [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} at relatively positive potentials is facilitated through this electronic structure, which in turn promotes its exceptional selectivity (FE\textsubscript{CO} > 90\%) through suppression of HER pathways. Furthermore, variable potential CPE experiments with direct product detection show that [Fe1]\textsuperscript{2+} operates at fast rates in both organic (TOF > 100 000 s\textsuperscript{−1}) and aqueous electrolytes (TOF > 50 000 s\textsuperscript{−1}) with robust long-term stability and recyclability.

The ability to create molecular compounds with strong exchange coupling between synergistic, redox non-innocent ligands and metal centers has broad implications for catalyst design, including enabling control over the density and arrangement of functional active sites. Indeed, redox balancing through electronic delocalization is pivotal for biological and materials catalysts to achieve complex chemical transformations at or near thermodynamic potentials. However, discrete molecular compounds offer a level of tunability via coordination chemistry that remains unparalleled in protein design and nanostructure engineering. Thus, inorganic molecules are uniquely positioned to open access to a range of new properties and offer a desirable alternative strategy for lowering energy barriers for redox catalysis. The observed 640 mV electrochemical potential shift and associated turn-on of CO\textsubscript{2}RR catalysis upon substituting Zn(II) for Fe(II) for the same redox non-innocent ligand emphasizes metal–ligand cooperativity for redox balancing that goes beyond redox activity dominated at either ligand or metal sites alone.
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